

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,175	07/26/2001	Yutaka Tatsuno	14809	7503
75	90 08/06/2003			
Paul J Esatto Jr Scully Scott Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza			EXAMINER  JOHNSON, HENRY I	
			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>	G
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	_	09/890,175	TATSUNO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Henry M Johnson, III	3739	
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
THE MAILING DATE OI  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the  - If the period for reply specified and the period for reply is specified.  - Failure to reply within the set or	extended period for reply will, by statute attention three months after the mailing	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT a, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communic  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on <u>19</u>	<u>May 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FIN	NAL. 2b)□ TI	nis action is non-final.		
closed in accord	ation is in condition for allow ance with the practice under		ers, prosecution as to the mer	its is
Disposition of Claims				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	e pending in the application			
·	claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are				
7) Claim(s) is.				
8)∐ Claim(s) ar Application Papers	re subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
9) ☐ The specification is	s objected to by the Examino	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) file	d on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)⊡ objected to by th	e Examiner.	
''	request that any objection to the		·	
	ving correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
	cted drawings are required in re	•		
,—	ation is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment	is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some	e * c) None of:			
1. ☐ Certified co	pies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. ☐ Certified co	pies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Ap	oplication No	
applicat	ne certified copies of the priction from the International Be etailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage eceived.	1
14) Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).
_ *	n of the foreign language pr s made of a claim for domes			·
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Pat Information Disclosure State	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>
S. Patent and Trademark Office		-		

Application/Control Number: 09/890,175

Art Unit: 3739

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as a result of newly uncovered art. Imaging capability used with endoscopes is widely used throughout the world. The mounting and manipulation of the elements to capture and display the image are disclosed in numerous patents. The sealing of endoscopes for sterilization is also well known. The claim rejections are based on the disclosure of all the features and the clear motivation to combine such features in an obvious manner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,575,757 to Kennedy et al. Kennedy teaches endoscope with an imaging unit comprising optical elements (Fig. 1, # 22) for forming an image and a CCD to capture the image (Fig. 1, # 24) sealed within the device. The lens elements are fixed by epoxy (Col. 6, lines 46-48) and seals are provided to protect the focus mechanism during use and sterilization (Col. 5, lines 32-35). Focus is provided by moving the CCD in a longitudinal direction relative to the fixed lens (Col. 4, lines 44-46).

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by JP11-216102 to Yamaguchi. Yamaguchi discloses an image pick-up apparatus for endoscopes with the optics and CCD hermetically sealed. The units hermetically sealed may be the CCD, focus lens and optical filter (paragraph 0110). Figure 7 discloses the CCD and optics in a hermetically sealed unit (sections 2 and 3 are both hermetically sealed and are connected with an O-ring seal to yield a sealed, integrated unit). The optical elements are fixed, with a mechanism for altering that fixed position, in a sealed section (Fig. 7, #2). The CCD is adjustable laterally using

Application/Control Number: 09/890,175

Art Unit: 3739

set screws (driving means, Fig. 7, #206) to adjust the eccentricity of the camera head (paragraph 0121) relative to the optical elements.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP11-216102 to Yamaguchi as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,575,757 to Kennedy et al. Both are discussed above. Additionally, Yamaguchi teaches mounting the image device in a frame that is connected to an endoscope (Fig. 3). Yamaguchi does not disclose moving the CCD in relation to the optical elements for focusing. Kennedy's focus mechanism moves the CCD in relation to the fixed optical elements. Imaging using optics and CCD's is pervasive in the art and it is well known that focusing may be achieved by moving either the CCD or the optics, therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to move the CCD as taught by Kennedy in the invention of Yamaguchi to focus the image.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,575,757 to Kennedy et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,797,836 to Lucey et al. Kennedy is discussed above, but does not disclose a rotation of the CCD. Lucey discloses an endoscope imaging device that includes rotation of the CCD within a sealed unit with respect to the optical member (Col. 1, lines 55-57). It is well know to rotate electronic images by either rotation of the image capture device or using electronic or software on the captured image, therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the rotation of the CCD as taught by Lucey in the invention of Kennedy to provide an image in the most user friendly orientation.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M Johnson, III whose telephone number is (703) 305-0910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Henry M Johnson, III Patent Examiner Art Unit 3739

Hmj July 31, 2003

> John P. Leubecker Primary Examiner